

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspic.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/970,592	10/04/2001	Bing Wang	6848.US.01	1236	
20.72	590 03/05/2003 VFINSTOCK	CK	EXAM	EXAMINER	
ABBOTT LAB	EN F. WEINSTOCK TT LABORATORIES SMITH, TYRONE W BBOTT PARK ROAD	(RONE W			
DEPT. 377/AP6A		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ABBOTT PAR	K, IL 60064-6008		2837		
			DATE MAILED: 03/05/2003	3	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			A.
		Application No.	Applicant(s)
, r		09/970,592	WANG ET AL.
0	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Tyrone W Smith	2837
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address
A SHO	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION risions of time may be available under the provisions reprincipled.		
after S - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re earne	isions of time may be available time into the provisions of communication. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ply within the statutory minimum of d will apply and will expire SIX (6) N	thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
tatus	Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
1)∐ 2a)∐	•	This action is non-final.	
2a) □ 3) □	Since this application is in condition for allow	wance except for formal	matters, prosecution as to the merits is
·	closed in accordance with the practice under the closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of Claims	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4)🛛	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.	
5)⊠	Claim(s) 11 and 15-18 is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1,5,7-9 and 12 is/are rejected.		
7)🖂	Claim(s) 2-4,13 and 14 is/are objected to.		
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement	
	tion Papers		
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.	
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on 14 February 2002 is/	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)∟	objected to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in a	beyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b)	disapproved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in		
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.	
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)🛛	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (t).
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		
	1. Certified copies of the priority docum		
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received	in Application No
*	3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International See the attached detailed Office action for a	Bureau (PC) Rule 17.29	(a)).
14)[]	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	estic priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application
	a) The translation of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	provisional application h	as been received.
Attachme			
1) No	tice of References Cited (PTO-892) tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	5) 🔲 Not	rview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) er:
J) E3 1111			

Application/Control Number: 09/970,592

Art Unit: 2837

الهاد

DETAILED ACTION

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1, 5 and 12 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Coutu (5225756).

Regarding Claims 1, 5 and 12. Coutu discloses a stepper motor driver circuit, which includes comparator circuitry (Figure 1 items 26 and 27) to compare a motor phase current with a reference current and provide an output (refer to Figure 1 items 23, 26, 27, 28, 29 and 30 where the outputs of the comparator connect over lines 28 and 29 with phase A and B drive circuits in indicated when the applied currents have reached their predetermined reference levels). Coutu discloses two EPROM's (Figure 1 items 14 and 15), equivalent to the sine and cosine wave generators disclosed in the invention (column 3 lines 9-15). The motor controller (Figure 1 item 76) coupled to the comparator circuitry and motor phase to adjust the motor phase current in response to the output, the motor current controller selectively uses slow and fast current decay on the motor phase to reduce the motor phase current using a fast decay process and use a slow decay process to further reduce the motor phase current.

Application/Control Number: 09/970,592

Art Unit: 2837

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 7-10 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Coutu (5225756) in view of Marinko (4336484).

Coutu discloses a stepper motor driver circuit, which includes comparator circuitry (Figure 1 items 26 and 27) to compare a motor phase current with a reference current and provide an output (refer to Figure 1 items 23, 26, 27, 28, 29 and 30 where the outputs of the comparator connect over lines 28 and 29 with phase A and B drive circuits in indicated when the applied currents have reached their predetermined reference levels). Coutu discloses two EPROM's (Figure 1 items 14 and 15), equivalent to the sine and cosine wave generators disclosed in the invention (column 3 lines 9-15). The motor controller (Figure 1 item 76) coupled to the comparator circuitry and motor phase to adjust the motor phase current in response to the output, the motor current controller selectively uses slow and fast current decay on the motor phase to reduce the motor phase current (column 5 lines 44-68 and column 6 lines 1-35). Further, Coutu can reduce the motor phase current using a fast decay process and use a slow decay process to further reduce the motor phase current.

Coutu does not disclose the first and second motor phase current measured using a resistor coupled in series with a winding of the first and second motor phase and a differential amplifier. Marinko discloses a motor control apparatus, which includes first and second motor phase (Figure 1), resistor (Figure 1 items 14A and 14B) coupled in series with a winding(s)

> Application/Control Number: 09/970,592

Art Unit: 2837

(Figure 1 items 10A-10B') of the first and second motor phase and differential amplifier (Figure 1 item 26A and 26B). Refer to column 4 lines 42-68 and column 5 lines 1-56.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to combine Coutu's invention of a stepper motor driver circuit with Marinko's motor control method. The advantage of combining the two would provide a system, which relies an improved current-modulated step motor drive instead of relying primarily on a chopper drive.

5. Claims 2-4, 6, and 13-14 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The prior art(s) of record does not disclose the controller decreasing the motor phase current to the reference current using a fast current decay process and switches to a slow decay process following a defined time period. Further, the defined time period is equal to twice an elapsed time required to reduce the motor phase current to the reference current and the controller decreasing the motor phase current using only a fast current decay is the reference current is zero.

Claims 11 and 15-18 in condition for allowance.

Application/Control Number: 09/970,592

Art Unit: 2837

7. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance of claims 11 and 1518: The prior art(s) of record does not disclose the controller decreasing the motor phase current to the reference current using a fast current decay process and switches to a slow decay process following a defined time period. Further, the defined time period is equal to twice an elapsed time required to reduce the motor phase current to the reference current and the controller decreasing the motor phase current using only a fast current decay is the reference current is zero. Also, the prior art(s) of record does not discloses when the first motor phase current is greater than the first reference current, reducing the first motor phase current to the first reference current using a fast current decay process until the first motor phase current equals the first reference current.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tyrone W Smith whose telephone number is 703-306-5987. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays from 8:30am to 5;00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Nappi, can be reached on (703) 308-3370. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-308-3431.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1782.

ROBERT E. NAPPI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800